# Corporation for Getirmen. BUREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM Garrie Andrew Jnc.

TO:

Commissioners Murphy, Meeduff and Comlon

FROM:

Loc.

E. H. Best. Counsel

SUBJECT: Dansil Realty, Inc.

The primary issue presented in this metter is whether a note and a mortgage executed by the potitioner is a long term obligation in computing the tempeyor's franchise tex measured by a business and investment capital. The Hearing Officer, Mr. John J. Genevick of the Corporation Tax Bureau has prepared a proposed determination disallowing a deduction of the extending belance of the note and mortgage hereinafter described from business capital on the grounds that the tempoyer was personally obligated on the debt and that the debt was a long term obligation.

The facts disclose that on October 25, 1963 the potitioner executed a purchase money note and mortgage to the Jameica Savings Bank in the sum of \$80,000.00. The note provides for monthly payments emertising the obligation with the final payment to be note on Nevember 1, 1973. The tempeyer contends that because the note is due and payable immediately upon broach of any pro-vision of the agreement, the obligation is a short term liability.

Since the tempayer is personally liable on the note, it cannot include only the value of its equity in the property subject to the mortgage in question. (See 20 NECRS 3.32(b)) Section 200(7) of the Tax Law provides that liabilities which are payable by their terms on demand or within one year from the date incurred are deductible from assets in arriving at business capital. Since the terms of the note and mertgage do met provide for payments on demand or within one year from the date the obligation was incurred, I am of the opinion that the statement of sudit changes and the proposed determination affirming such statement is correct.

If you agree with me, kindly sign the proposed determination and return the file to me for disposition.

> BEST. 1777

Jamesy	16,	1967	•	/s/	Е. Н.
Proips					

### STATE OF HEW TORK

#### THE STATE TAX COUNTESTON

In the Matter of the Petition

ĐŹ

### PARSIL BRALEY, 136.

For redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of franchise tax under Article 9-A of the Tax Law for the privilege year ended December 31, 1964.

Dansil Realty, Inc., having filed a petition
for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of franchise tax under Article 9-A of the Tax Law for the privilege
year ended December 31, 1964, and a hearing having been
held in connection therewith at the office of the State Tax
Commission at 80 Centre Street, New York, N. Y. on October
19, 1966 before John J. Genevich, Hearing Officer of the
Department of Taxation and Finance, at which hearing the
taxpayer was represented by Irvin Boxastein, CFA, testimony
having been taken and the matter having been duly examined
and considered,

The State Tax Commission hereby finds:

the laws of New York State on August 8, 1963; that it
filed a return for the privilege year ended December 31,
1964 and paid a minimum tax of \$25.00; that on the besis
of information submitted, the Department of Taxation and
Pinance issued a statement of sudit adjustment on October
15, 1965 computing an added tax of \$86.24 based on business
capital and issued a notice of deficiency therefor as follows:

## Privilege Year ended December 31, 1964

Dusiness Assets \$116,180.00
Loss Current Liabilities 4,941.00
Business Capital 111,239.00
Tax at one mill 111.24
Tax Per Return 25.00
Deficiency \$ 96.24

- (2) That the taxpayer conveyed a real estate mortgage as security and signed a mortgage note dated October 25, 1963 in the face amount of \$80,000, with interest at six per cent per annum, payable to the Jamaien Savings Bank, providing for repayment in monthly installments of \$667.00 commencing on December 1, 1963, and a like sum on the first day of each month thereafter until Movember 1, 1973, when the unpaid belance becomes due and payable.
- (3) That section 208.7 of Article 9-A of the Tax law provides in part that "The term 'business capital' means all assets, other than subsidiary capital, investment capital and stock issued by the taxpayer, less liabilities not deducted from subsidiary or investment capital which are payable by their terms on demand or within one year from the date incurred, other than lesse or advances outstanding for more than a year as of any date during the year covered by the report, \* \* \* \*."
- (4) That section 3.32 (b) of Raling of the State Tax Commission dated March 15, 1962, in effect through the calendar year 1964, provides in part that "where the taxpayer owns property subject to a debt for which the taxpayer is not personally liable, only the taxpayer's equity in the property, over and above the amount of such debt, is included in business capital."

Based upon the foregoing findings and all of the evidence presented, the State Tax Commission hereby RECIDES:

- (A) That the mortgage note was not payable on demand or within one year from the date insurred and was outstanding for more than one year as of the close of the taxable period on December 31, 1964 and therefore does not qualify as a deduction in computing business capital.
- (B) That the taxpayer was personally liable on the mortgage note and the full value of the property, instead of taxpayer's equity therein, must be included in computing business capital.
- (C) That accordingly, the statement of audit adjustment and notice of deficiency imposing additional franchise tax together with interest against the taxpayer are correct; that the same do not include any taxos of other charges which are not legally due and that the taxpayer's potition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund be and the same is hereby dismissed.

BATED: Albany, New York

this	30th day	of	January	1967	STATE TAX COMMISSION
				/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY
					<b>CHATTEL CANO</b>
				/s/	JAMES R. MACDUFF
			CONTRACTOR OF		
				/s/	WALTER MACLYN CONLON
					COMMITTEE LONGER